

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/869,347	09/07/2001	Takeshi Uchida	566.40319X00	4090	
75	90 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
Antonelli Terr	y Stout & Kraus		SCHILLINGE	R, LAURA M	
Suite 1800 1300 North Seventeenth Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
Arlington, VA			2813	2813	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Æ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/869,347	UCHIDA ET AL.	
• Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Laura M. Schillinger	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sh t with	th correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja			
• —	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	•	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 159-185 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>159-185</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152	۷.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🛛 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	I19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[∄ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) \coprod Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1, claims 159-163, 177-179, 181-182, 183-184 pertaining to a material comprising multiple solutions in a divided state into two constituent elements not mixed;

Species 2, claims 164-168, 180, 185 pertaining to a metal polishing liquid including an oxidizing agent, water and abrasive grains;

Species 3, claims 169-174, pertaining to a method for producing the metal polishing liquid including mixing several elements in any desired order;

Species 4, claims 175-176, pertaining to a method of polishing including a polishing pad set on a platen;

The species are independent or distinct because Applicant's amendment modifies the scope of the claims by creating multiple species and thus the requirement of a restriction requirement.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 2813

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Miles

06/01/06

Laura M Schillinge Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813